Evaluation of Risk of Malignancy Index in the Preoperative Assessment of the Patients with Ovarian Mass
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ABSTRACT

Background: Adnexal masses indicate a variety of gynecological and non-gynecological disorders, which may be benign or malignant. Early detection of malignancy is crucial to a proper planning of treatment and improvement of survival.

Objective: The purpose of the study was to evaluate predictive value of risk of malignancy index (RMI) in differentiating between benign and malignant ovarian masses preoperatively.

Study Design: Cross sectional (Validation) study.

Settings: Obstetrics & Gynecology Department of Madinah Teaching Hospital affiliated with University Medical & Dental College Faisalabad Pakistan.

Duration: One year from January 2018 to December 2018.

Methodology: Total 86 patients were included in the study.

Sensitivity of the RMI for malignant ovarian tumor is 70.45% and specificity is 69.05%.

Conclusion: RMI was considered to have significant importance in preoperative evaluation and treatment of women with an adnexal mass, and was helpful in-patient referral to higher Centre for suitable and effective surgical intervention.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovarian tumor is the commonest gynecological malignancy in the developing as well as developed countries. Although the mortality rates in patients with ovarian cancer had decreased remarkably over the past years, the incidence is still rising rapidly, even in countries where previously the incidence is low.¹ It has poor prognosis and most cases (about 80%) are at advanced stage at the time of diagnosis.² Precise characterization of adnexal mass is important to decrease anxiety and cost in case of benign tumor.³ The purpose of evaluation of ovarian mass is to differentiate between benign and malignant conditions. Early stage diagnosis leads to better planning of treatment and better prognosis.⁴ A variety of prediction tools have been used for evaluation of adnexal mass.⁵ Currently the standard tools for detecting ovarian cancer are abdominopelvic ultrasound, serum CA 125 level and is combined with menopausal status for Risk of Malignancy index (RMI) calculation and is considered simple and affordable test.⁶ The aim of this study is to determine the sensitivity and specificity of RMI so that patients with ovarian pathology may have appropriate management plan preoperatively.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: Cross sectional (Validation) study.

Settings: The study was conducted in the Obstetrics & Gynecology Department of Madinah Teaching Hospital affiliated with University Medical & Dental College Faisalabad Pakistan.

Duration: One year from January 2018 to December 2018

Sample Technique: Non-probability convenient sampling.

Sample Size: Total number of patients were 87.

Inclusion Criteria: All patients diagnosed with ovarian tumor admitted in department of Obstetrics and Gynecology were included in the study.
Exclusion Criteria: Females who are diagnosed case of malignant ovarian tumor and on chemotherapy, other adnexal pathology like Tubo-ovarian mass, ectopic pregnancy and pregnant female with ovarian cyst were excluded from the study.

Data Collection Procedure: The approval of the study was taken from the ethical committee of hospital. Informed written consent from all patients was taken. After detailed history all patients underwent clinical examination. Beside the routine investigations, serum CA 125 level, ultrasound finding and menopausal status of all patients were assessed before surgery. The RMI 3 was calculated for each woman using the ultrasound score (U), menopausal score (M) and the absolute value of CA 125 by the given method. RMI = U X M X serum CA125

Five characteristics of ultrasound indicative of malignancy include multilocular, existence of solid components, bilateral lesions, existence of ascites and extra ovarian tumor or presence of metastasis. U is considered 1 if none one of these features are found and a score of 3 if two or more of these findings are seen. For menopausal women M = 3 and for premenopausal women M=1. A value of 200 is taken as cutoff for RMI in this study because it was considered by many studies as the best discriminatory value between benign and malignant ovarian tumor due to its more sensitivity and specificity. Staging Laparotomy of all the patients was performed. The specimen was sent for histopathological examination. The findings of histopathological examination were taken as the gold standard. So, evaluation of MRI done regarding sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and diagnostic accuracy with reference to the histopathological evidence of benign and malignant ovarian tumor. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 16.

RESULTS

Eighty-six patients were included in the study. Out of which 50 patients (58.1%) were premenopausal and 36 patients (41.9%) were menopausal. (Figure 1)

The mean age of the patients was 55.79 years (Standard deviation 17.908). According to histopathological examination of the specimens 44 were malignant and 42 were benign.

The sensitivity of the RMI for malignant ovarian tumor is 70.45% and specificity is 69.05%. (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RMI</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>Benign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 200</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity = 70.45%, Specificity = 69.05%, PPV = 70.45%, NPV = 69.05%, Diagnostic Accuracy = 69.77%, Positive likelihood ratio = 2.28, Negative likelihood ratio = 0.43

DISCUSSION

Exploratory laparotomy for evaluation of ovarian pathology had been performed in about 10% women during their lifetime. Early diagnosis of malignant ovarian tumor and early referral to a gynecologist result in increased the survival rates of the patients but no single method is available to precisely anticipate ovarian malignancy. The reliable method of diagnosis are still history, examination and ultrasound findings but has certain limitations so it’s possible that gynecologists may find an undiagnosed ovarian malignancy per operatively and resulting in unplanned cytoreduction. If there is a scoring system for prediction of ovarian malignancy, it can help in preoperative counseling, preoperative preparation and patient referral to a specialized Centre if needed. RMI score can be an effective method for prediction of ovarian malignancy, especially in low resource areas.

Most of the studies shows a high diagnostic accuracy at cut-off value of 200. Its usefulness as diagnostic modality depends on the prevalence of ovarian tumor in the study population. In this study, RMI had a sensitivity of 70.45% and specificity of 69.05%. Dora SK et al indicated, RMI at a cutoff point of 200 had a sensitivity of 73.9% and specificity of 96.5%. A study conducted in Sweden describe the sensitivity of RMI 89% and specificity of 80%. Al-Asadi JN et al reported that RMI was reliable in preoperative differentiation between malignant and benign adnexal masses at cut off value of 200 with sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 96.2% respectively.

Arun-Muthuvel V et al reported higher sensitivity and specificity than our study 96.1% and 81% respectively. A study conducted in India indicated that RMI > 200 had a sensitivity of 70.5% and a specificity of 87.8%.
Although RMI seems to be a reliable method in discrimination between malignant and benign, its utilization in the community depends on the inclination of the clinician to its usage and whether a considerable number of patients with suspected ovarian malignancy will be referred to a gynecologic oncologist with appropriate expertise.

CONCLUSION
Currently no screening method available for differentiation between benign and malignant ovarian tumor. RMI was considered to have significant importance in preoperative evaluation and treatment of women with an adnexal mass, and was helpful in-patient referral to higher Centre for suitable and effective surgical intervention.

LIMITATIONS
No limitation felt in conducting study.

SUGGESTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS
These studies should be done in different centers, so that data can be shared to identify potentially malignant patient for early intervention with a hope of better outcome of disease.
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