## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# APMC – 343

# Percutaneous Cystolithotripsy; Is it Safe and Successful in All Age Groups?

Muhammad Akmal, Muhammad Irfan Munir, Ashraf Ali Jafari, Ghulam Mahboob Subhani, Safdar Hassan Javed

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To evaluate the success and safety of percutaneous cystolithotripsy in all age groups. **Study Design:** Prospective descriptive. **Setting:** Department of Urology & Renal Transplantation Punjab Medical College, Allied Hospital Faisalabad. **Period:** from January 2016 to October 2016. **Methodology:** 19 patients were included in the study, all were male. All patients were submitted for percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy. Procedure was done under general anesthesia in pediatric age group and under spinal anesthesia in adults. Nephroscope and pneumatic lithoclast was used for stone fragmentation. **Results:** Good results were achieved with total stone clearance in all the cases. There was 100% stone clearance in 100% patients. Minor complications were observed including suprapubic leakage, pre-vesical extravasation, minor hematuria and post-operative infection. Procedure was cost effective regarding procedure time and post-operative hospital stay. Post-operative perurethral catheter was kept for three days. **Conclusion:** Percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy can be used safely with good results and minimal complications in all age groups.

Keywords: Bladder stone, Percutaneous cystolithotripsy, Nephroscope, Three prongs forceps, Pneumatic lithoclast.

#### Corresponding Author

**Dr. Muhammad Akmal** Assistant Professor, Urology PMC / Allied Hospital, Faisalabad Contact: +92 300-6618807 Email: aksmoruro@yahoo.com Submitted for Publication: 17-11-2016 Accepted for Pu

Accepted for Publication: 16-01-2017

**Article Citation:** Akmal M, Munir MI, Jafari AA, Subhani GM, Javed SH. Percutaneous Cystolithotripsy is it Safe and Successful in All Age Groups?. APMC 2017;11(1):25-27.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Urinary bladder stones are common in developing and under developed countries. History dates back to pre-historic time.<sup>1,2</sup> Stones may be due to deficiency of proteins and vitamin-A in children but idiopathic stones are also found. Vesical calculi can be secondary due to bladder outlet obstruction or they may fall from upper tract in adults. There are different treatment modalities for vesical calculi including open surgery and ESWL. Some newer techniques have been introduced in near past including transurethral stone fragmentation<sup>3-5</sup> by intra-corporeal cystolithotripsy, vesicolitholapaxy and percutaneous cystolithotripsy.<sup>6-9</sup> All newer methods are less time consuming and cost effective regarding hospital stay and post-operative recovery time. We planned and conducted above mentioned study to evaluate its success and safety of procedure in our department.

#### METHODOLOGY

**Study Design:** Prospective and descriptive study. **Settings:** Department of Urology and Renal Transplantation, Allied Hospital, Faisalabad.

Period: From January 2016 to October 2016 Inclusion Criteria: 19 patients were included in the study. Their age raged from 31/2 to 90 years. Stone size was 1 to 3cm in children and 2 to 5cm in adults. Exclusion Criteria: Stone size more than 3cm in children and stone size more than 5cm in adults. Methods: Diagnosis was made on the basis of ultrasonography KUB followed by X-ray pelvis.<sup>10</sup> All baseline reports were performed to evaluate the fitness of patients. Procedure was done under general anesthesia in pediatric age group and under spinal anesthesia in adults. Urinary bladder was partially distended with normal saline by putting feeding tube in children and nelton drain in adults. Percutaneous suprapubic puncture was made in the urinary bladder under ultrasound guidance by using lumber puncture needle no. 16. Guidewire was inserted through lumber puncture needle and track was dilated by using silicone dilators. Amplatz sheath No. 28 was inserted on silicon dilators into the urinary bladder. Stone was broken with nephroscope and pneumatic lithoclast. Stone fragments were removed by three prongs forceps and bladder was washed with normal saline. Per-

APMC Volume 11, Number 1 January – March 2017

urethral foley catheter was passed after the procedure and kept for three days.

## RESULTS

19 patients were included in the study, all were male. Stone size was 1 to 3 cm in children. Average stone size was 1.8cm and 2 to 5cm in adults. Average stone size was 3.2cm. Complete stone clearance was seen in all cases. (Table 1) Post-operative catheter was kept for three days. Suprapubic urine leakage was found in 1 (5.26%) case. One (5.26%) patient developed pre-vesical extravasation which was managed conservatively. Two (10.52%) patients developed minor hematuria which was insignificant. Infection was seen in two (10.52%) patients which was managed by antibiotics. (Table 2) No major complication was noted including bladder rupture, intra-peritoneal leakage or conversion to open stone surgery. No patient required suprapubic drain or catheter insertion. Suprapubic scar was negligible after single stitch removal.

Sr. No.	Parameter	Range
1.	Age (Children)	3 ½ to 12 years
2.	Age (Adults)	15 to 90 years
3.	Stone size (Children)	1 to 3cm
4.	Stone size (Adults)	2 to 5cm
5.	Associated bladder outlet obstruction (Adults)	6
6.	Stone Clearance	100%
7.	Post-Operative Hospital stay	3 days
8.	Post-Operative catheterization	3 days

#### Table 1: Outcome of the cystolithotripsy

### **Table 2: Complications of cystolithotripsy**

Sr. No.	Complication	Number of patients	%age
1.	Suprapubic urinary leakage	1	5.26%
2.	Pre-vesical extravasation	1	5.26%
3.	Hematuria	2	10.52%
4.	Infection	2	10.52%

### DISCUSSION

Open cystolithotomy had been first choice for bigger vesical stones for long period of time.<sup>11</sup> There are

APMC Volume 11	, Number 1	Januar	y – March 2017
----------------	------------	--------	----------------

multiple problems of open vesicolithotomy. They include prolonged hospital stay, increased risk of infection due to catheterization for one week. Currently different surgical approaches from urethra are being used including vesicolitholapaxy vesicolithotripsy. They are safe and successful but have limitations.<sup>12,13</sup> Percutaneous cystolithotripsy was selected for bigger vesical calculi in our department. Similar study was conducted by Demire et al.<sup>14</sup>

We included children and adults all ages in our study similar to the Maheshwari et al. We used rigid nephroscope and pneumatic lithoclast for stone fragmentation as used by Maheshwari et al.<sup>15</sup>

100% stone clearance was noted by us it is similar as noted by many operations.<sup>14</sup>

There was no significant post-operative complication seen in our study<sup>15</sup> some minor complications were observed in our study like hematuria, urinary leakage, pre-vesical extravasation and infection. Hematuria occurred in 2(10.32%) patients. Sahito and Co-workers noted in 2 patients, Obaid et al. noted hematuria in 5% of the patients which resolved spontaneously within 24 hours. Similar has been noted by different centers.<sup>16</sup>

Nazeer, Rafiq Ahmad Sahito and Sharif et al used trochar and cannula to enter the bladder<sup>16</sup> while we used percutaneous technique under ultrasound guidance and serial dilatation by dilators then Amplantz sheath for this purpose. Similarly they fragmented stone with stone punch. Stone punch has the limitations. It can fragment the stones up to 2cm only. We fragmented stone with pneumatic lithoclast

Samiullah et al compared open and transurethral vesicolitholapaxy which is in contrast to our study.<sup>18</sup> Nazar Ali Memon used stone punch for stone fragmentation while performing percutaneous cystolithotomy but nephroscope and pneumatic lithoclast was used by our department.<sup>19</sup>

### CONCLUSION

Percutaneous cystolithotomy is safe and successful with 100% stone clearance in all age groups. It has minimal complications. It is cost effective regarding procedure time and post-operative hospital stay. So it can be used safely and successfully for all stone sizes (up to 5cm) in all age groups.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Ellis H. A History of Bladder Stone. Oxford, England: Blackwell Scientific Publications. preface. 1969;166-71.
- 2. Schwartz BF, Stoller ML. The vesical calculus. Urol Clin North Am. May 2000;27(2):333-46.

- Bhatia V, Biyani CS. Vesical lithiasis: open surgery versus cystolithotripsy versus extracorporeal shock wave therapy. J Urol. 1994;151(3):660-2.
- Huffman JL, Ginsberg DA. Calculi in the Bladder and Urinary Diversions. In: Coe FL, Favus MJ, Pak CY, Parks JH, Preminger GM, eds. Kidney Stones: Medical and Surgical Management. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott-Raven; 1996, p. 1025-34.
- Akmal M, Subhani GM, Jafari AA, Ali M, Munir MI, Hyder IH, Javed SH. Outcome of Intracorporeal Cystolithotripsy in Children. APMC. 2013;7(1):21-3.
- Ikari O, Netto NR Jr, D'Ancona CA, Palma PC. Percutaneous treatment of bladder stones. J Urol. 1993;149(6):1499-500.
- Wollin TA, Singal RK, Whelan T, et al. Percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy for treatment of large bladder calculi. J Endourol. 1999;13(10):739-44.
- Paez E, Reay E, Murthy LN, Pickard RS, Thomas DJ. Percutaneous treatment of calculi in reconstructed bladder. J Endourol. 2007;21(3):334-6.
- Franzoni DF, Decter RM. Percutaneous vesicolithotomy: an alternative to open bladder surgery in patients with an impassable or surgically ablated urethra. J Urol. Sep 1999;162(3 Pt 1):777-8.
- 10. Huang WC, Yang JM. Sonographic appearance of a bladder calculus secondary to a suture from

a bladderneck suspension. J Ultrasound Med. 2002;21(11):1303-5.

- 11. Athansios GP, Iaonnis V, Athaniosis D, Charlambos D. Bladder lithiasis: from open surgery to lithotripsy. Urol Res. 2006;34:163–7.
- 12. Bapat SS. Endoscopic removal of bladder stones in adults. Br J Urol. 1977;49(6):527-30.
- 13. Shokeir AA. Transurethral cystolitholapaxy in children. J Endourol. 1994;8(2):157-60.
- 14. Demirel F, Cakan M, Yalçinkaya F, Demirel AC, Aygün A, Altuğ UU. Percutaneous suprapubic cystolithotripsy approach: for whom? Why?. J Endourol. 2006;20(6):429-31.
- 15. Maheshwari PN, Oswal AT, Bansal M. Percutaneous cystolithotomy for vesical calculi: a better approach. Tech Urol. 1999;5(1):40-2.
- 16. Sahito RA, Awan MS, Baloch TA. A Comparative Study of Percutaneous Suprapubic Cystolitholapaxy Versus Open Cystolithotomy in Children. J Surg Pak. 2011;16(4):161-4.
- 17. Obaid AT, A Comparative Study of Percutaneous Suprapubic Cystolitholapaxy versus Open Cystolithotomy in Adults. Med J Babylon. 2013;10(1):11-24.
- 18. Samiullah, Chaudhary IA, Masood R. A Comparison of Open Vesicolithotomy and Cystolitholapaxy. Pak J Med Sci. 2007;23(1):47-50.
- 19. Nazar AM. Percutaneous use of stone punch in the management of vesical calculi in children: a new treatment modality. Gomal J Med Sci 2006;4:28-31.

AUTHORS	<b>Contribution to The Paper</b>	Signatures
<b>Dr. Muhammad Akmal</b> Assistant Professor, Urology PMC/Allied Hospital, Faisalabad	Researcher, Data Collector	0
<b>Dr. Muhammad Irfan Munir</b> Assistant Professor, Urology PMC/Allied Hospital, Faisalabad	Statistical Analysis	Fr. D.C.
<b>Dr. Ashraf Ali Jafari</b> Assistant Professor, Urology PMC/Allied Hospital, Faisalabad	References writing	
<b>Dr. Ghulam Mahboob Subhani</b> Associate Professor, Urology PMC/Allied Hospital, Faisalabad	Proof reading and writing the manuscript	Survey
<b>Prof. Dr. Safdar Hassan Javed</b> Professor & Head of Urology PMC / Allied Hospital, Faisalabad	Supervised the study	S.M

# **AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION**