Successful Induction of Labour at 40+ and 41+ Weeks in Primigravida Women

Munazza Shabir¹, Sara Ali Khan², Saira Bibi³, Sobia Siraj⁴, Heema⁵, Alia Jehan⁶

- 1 Consultant Gynecologist, Health Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan Study Concept & Design, Data analysis and interpretation, Manuscript writing
- 2 Consultant Gynaecologist, Advanced International Hospital, Islamabad Pakistan Data analysis, Manuscript writing
- 3 Woman Medical Officer, Health Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan Research designing, Critical analysis
- 4 Senior Registrar, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Peshawar Medical College, Peshawar Pakistan Manuscript writing, Statistical analysis, Discussion writing, Literature search
- 5 Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, KMU-IMS, Women and Children Hospital, Kohat Pakistan Research designing, Abstract writing
- 6 Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gajju Khan Medical College, Swabi Pakistan Study concept, Supervision, Concept, Critical analysis

How to Cite: Shabir M, Khan SA, Bibi S, Siraj S, Heema, Jehan A. Successful Induction of Labour at 40+ and 41+ Weeks in Primigravida Women. APMC 2025;19(2):84-88. DOI: 10.29054/APMC/2025.1761

ABSTRACT

Background: Induction initiates labour before it starts naturally, often used between 40–41 weeks in complicated pregnancies to reduce risks. **Objective:** The aim of the study to find the frequency of successful induction of labour at 40+ and 41+ weeks in primigravida and to compare the frequency of successful induction at these gestational ages. **Study Design:** descriptive cross-sectional study. **Settings:** Obstetrics & Gynecology Department of MTI-LRH, Peshawar Pakistan. **Duration:** July 2022 to January 2023. **Methods:** 120 primigravida women who were 40+ and 41+ weeks along in their gestation were included in the study. The data was analyzed using SPSS version 16. **Results:** In this study, the primigravida women who were at 40+ and 41+ weeks were measured for the results of induction of labour. 40 weeks (SD ±1.0) was the average gestational age, while the average mother age was 33.5 years (SD ±4.5). 18.33 percent had caesarean section births, while vaginal birth accounted for 81.66 percent; of the deliveries within 48 hours of attempted induction, the rate was a 66.66 percent; the outcomes among those induced were better at 40+ compared to 41+. **Conclusion:** Inducing labour at 40+ and 41+ weeks than at 41+ weeks, highlighting the need of good patient selection and close monitoring during labour induction.

Keywords: Vaginal delivery, Neonatal outcomes, Caesarean section, Prolonged pregnancy.

INTRODUCTION

One common method of starting labour is induction of labour (IOL), which involves stimulating the uterus before labour spontaneously starts. In addition to expediting delivery, this is the artificial start of labour before the body starts it on its own.¹ It is frequently done to prevent the problems that come with an extended pregnancy.² An increasing tendency in induction is observed in the majority of nations worldwide. The percentage in wealthy nations, like the USA, varied between 9.5% and 23.2%.³ While the rate of induction of labour (IOL) is between 4 and 12% in developing countries, it is significantly higher in wealthy countries (26 per cent in Australia and 23.3% in the UK), and was 24.9% in Queensland in 2014.⁴ Foetal growth limitation, post-term pregnancy, hypertension, and in some situations, maternal request are the most frequent reasons for induction.⁵⁻⁷

Around the world, the success rate of inducing labour differs by continent. According to a secondary World Health Organization (WHO) analysis of the results of induction of labour in sixteen Asian and African nations, the average success rate for IOL in Asian nations was 81.6%, with a range of 67.9% in China to 90.10 percent in Cambodia, and 83.4% in African nations, with a range of 57.3% in Uganda to the highest range of 95% in Angola.^{8–10}. A local study conducted at PAEC Hospital, Islamabad, reported that induction at 40-41 weeks resulted in a lower caesarean section rate (64.52%). This indicates that the timing of induction plays a crucial role in pregnancy

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR Dr. Alia Jehan Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Gajju Khan Medical College, Swabi Pakistan. Email: drzebk@gmail.com

> Submitted for Publication: 22-04-2025 Accepted for Publication 25-05-2025

outcomes.¹¹ Despite these findings, there are no universal national or local protocols for induction after term. Whether elective induction or a conservative approach is better remains a topic of ongoing research.¹²

This study aimed to determine the frequency of successful induction of labour at 40+ and 41+ weeks in primigravida and to compare the frequency of successful induction at these gestational ages. The study intends to evaluate the percentage of inductions that are effective within 48 hours and examine how the induction results change between these two gestational periods. The study compares the number of effective inductions at 40+ and 41+ weeks to shed light on when induction should be performed to enhance outcomes for both the mother and the fetus.

METHODS

This descriptive cross-sectional study was carried out from July 2022 to January 2023, in the Gynae B Unit of Lady Reading Hospital (LRH), Peshawar. The OpenEpi sample size calculator (version 3.01) was used to determine the sample size. A total of 120 patients were included in the sample, which had an 8.4% prevalence 8, a 5% margin of error, and a 95% confidence interval. To reduce selection bias and guarantee sufficient representation of primigravida women receiving induction at 40+ and 41+ weeks, consecutive sampling was employed for patient selection. Participants in this study had to be between the ages of 20 and 35, primigravida, singleton pregnant, and have a gestational age of 40 or 41 weeks. Pregnant women with preeclampsia, diabetes, hypertension, or premature rupture of membranes, multigravida, primigravida with malpresentation, and primigravida with multiple pregnancies were excluded.

Approval of the study was obtained from the hospital's ethical committee (Ref. No. 19/LRH/MTI dated 27th June 2022). The aim of the study was explained to all patients who met the inclusion criteria. A detailed history was taken, and the gestational age was calculated based on the last menstrual period and early ultrasound findings. A complete physical examination, including abdominal and vaginal examination for Bishop scoring, was performed before induction. Patients were induced using a mechanical Foley's catheter for the first 12 hours, followed by the application of PGE2 gel. If needed, a second application of PGE2 gel was given after 6 hours. Patients were observed for another 24 hours without intervention. Successful induction was defined as delivery occurring within 48 hours, while failed induction was when the patient did not deliver within this period. Care was taken in patient selection to avoid selection bias, and exclusion criteria were strictly followed to control confounders and maintain study validity.

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 16. Quantitative variables such as age and gestational age were presented as mean and standard deviation. Categorical variables, including caesarean section rate and vaginal delivery within 48 hours, were described as frequencies and percentages. The chi-square test was used to compare the success rate of induction between 40+ and 41+ weeks, considering a P-value ≤ 0.05 as statistically significant. Effect modifiers such as age and gender were controlled through stratification. The final results were presented in tables and charts for better visualization.

RESULTS

The results of this study shed significant light on the consequences of inducing labour in primigravida women at 40+ and 41+ weeks. The research participants' mean gestational age was 40 weeks with a standard deviation of 1.0, and their mean age was 33.5 years with a standard deviation of 4.5. With 60 patients (50%) in each age group, the age-wise distribution revealed an equal number of participants in the 18–25 and 26–35 age groups shown in Table 1.

Age Group	Frequencies	
18-25 Years	60(50%)	
26-35 Years	60(50%)	
Total	120	

 Table 1: Age groups frequency distribution of patients

The mode of delivery results revealed that out of the total 120 patients, 22 (18.33%) underwent caesarean section, while 98 (81.66%) had a successful vaginal delivery. At 40+ weeks, 10 patients (8.3%) had a caesarean section, whereas at 41+ weeks, 12 patients (10%) required a caesarean section. Vaginal delivery was achieved in 50 patients (41.66%) at 40+ weeks and 48 patients (40%) at 41+ weeks. These findings indicate that the rate of vaginal delivery was slightly higher at 40+ weeks compared to 41+ weeks, and the caesarean section rate was slightly increased at 41+ weeks as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: C Section Rate and Vaginal Delivery Rate

Mode of	At 40+	At 41+	Total
Delivery	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
C Section Rate	10 (8.3) %	12 (10%)	22 (18.33%)
Vaginal Delivery Rate	50 (41.66%)	48 (40%)	98 (81.66%)
Total	60 (50%)	60 (50%)	120 (100%)

Regarding the success of induction within 48 hours, a total of 80 patients (66.66%) had successful induction, whereas 40 patients (33.33%) did not deliver within this time frame, as shown in Table 3. When stratified by age, the success rate of induction at 40 weeks was 25 (20.83%) in the 18-25 years age group and 30 (25%) in the 26-35

years age group. At 41 weeks, 15 (12.5%) patients in the younger age group and 10 (8.33%) in the older age group had successful induction. The statistical analysis showed that the difference in successful induction between age groups was not significant, with P-values of 0.354 and 0.052, respectively shown in Table 4.

Table 3: Successful induction within 48 hours

Successful Induction	Frequencies	Percentages	
Yes	80	66.66%	
No	40	33.33%	
Total	120	100%	

The findings indicate that induction at 40 weeks is linked to a somewhat greater success rate than induction at 41 weeks, as seen by the higher proportion of vaginal births and lower incidence of caesarean sections. Furthermore, it seems that age has little bearing on whether induction within 48 hours is successful. These results are consistent with other studies that found that induction at 40 weeks had better results than waiting until 41 weeks. To enhance mother and foetal outcomes and lower the risk of caesarean section, the study emphasises the significance of early induction.

Age Groups	Time of Induction	Successful Induction		P Value
		Yes	No	value
18-25 Years	40 weeks	25	10	
		(20.83%)	(8.3%)	0.354
	41 weeks	15	10	
		(12.5%)	(8.3%)	
26-35 Years	40 weeks	30 (25%)	10	0.052
			(8.3%)	
	41 weeks	10	10	
		(8.33%)	(8.3%)	

Table 4: Stratification of successful induction for age

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated the effectiveness and results of induction at 40+ and 41+. Participants were 33.5 ± 4.5 years old on average, and the mean gestational age was 40 weeks. The vaginal delivery rates were 40% at 41 weeks and 41.66% at 40 weeks, while the induction success rate at 48 hours was 66.66%.

The outcomes of giving birth at 41 weeks after receiving prenatal care up to 42 weeks were assessed by Alkmark *et al.* (2019). According to the findings of this study, the induction group had no fatalities, indicating that induction at 41 weeks decreased perinatal mortality, whereas the expectant management group suffered six deaths, including five stillbirths and one neonatal death. Induction at 40 and 41 weeks appears to be a modest contributing factor to the caesarean delivery, given that 81.66% of vaginal deliveries were successful in this

research.13 In their study on misoprostol-assisted labour induction, Corrêa et al. (2022) found that the caesarean rate was 22.79%, while the hospital's total caesarean rate was higher. Given that the operation led to an 18.33% caesarean rate, labour induction is beneficial in lowering the number of surgical procedures.14 According to their findings, Andleeb et al. (2021) investigated how labour induction affected both the women and their newborns. Those who experienced induced labour had a 54% caesarean section rate, whereas those who experienced spontaneous labour had a 17.1% rate. According to the study, spontaneous labour increases the likelihood of vaginal delivery.¹⁵ According to the Rasheed et al. (2024) study, the failure rate for labour induction was 31.3% for non-obese women and 59.4% for obese women.¹⁶ According to research by Latif et al. (2023), 42% of obese women who were subjected to induction had a cesarean delivery, whereas 58% gave birth naturally. The majority of participants in this study gave birth to their kids vaginally, which is consistent with the research's findings. Given that this study reveals a Caesarean rate of just 18.33%, labour outcomes seem to be impacted by gestational age circumstances, foetal posture, and various forms of induction.17

The study conducted by Levine *et al.* in 2021 showed that standardized labor induction preserved mother health while lowering neonatal NICU admission rates. 66.66 percent of the participants successfully underwent inductions throughout the first 48 hours. Early pregnancy inductions have been shown to offer significant benefits for both expectant moms and their unborn offspring¹⁸

According to a study by Lueth *et al.* (2020), 76% of induced patients in Ethiopian hospitals had good results, whereas 24% of patients required cesarean section procedures. Given its 18.33% cesarean section rate, the researched prenatal induction intervention between weeks forty and forty-one may be beneficial if medical personnel give the right care.¹⁹

Another study, by Castro et al. (2019), assessed the role of routine ultrasonography at 35-37 weeks, during which non-cephalic presentation was detected in 5% of pregnancies, thus enabling timely intervention with either ECV or elective cesarean section.²⁰ In the current study, foetal position had no effect on the induction outcome; however, if malpresentation is detected by ultrasonography before to induction, the results will be further improved by lowering complications. Additionally, a research conducted by BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth that evaluated induction techniques revealed that the choice of induction drug had a significant impact on outcome rates. Foley's catheter and PGE2 gel were utilized in the current investigation, and the success rate of 66.66% was similar to data from other countries.19

At KRL Hospital in Islamabad, Khan et al. (2023) investigated the distinctions between labor after caesarean (TOLAC) and elective repeat caesarean births. According to the study, 64.52% of women needed a repeat caesarean procedure, whereas 35.48% of women delivered vaginally (VBAC) successfully. In addition, the VBAC group experienced NICU admissions at a significantly lower rate of 0% compared to the elective caesarean group's 20% rate. This study demonstrated high vaginal delivery rates (81.66%), thus further confirmed the benefits of trial-based birth care.¹¹ In their foetal outcome research, Iftikhar et al. (2022) found that vounger pregnant women were more likely to experience poor Apgar scores (16.1%), foetal distress (11.4%), delivery asphyxia (32.9%), and birth weight shortages (17.8%). Research on labour induction success rates matched all age groups, although the results suggested that younger maternal groups may be at higher risk for complications. According to this study, foetal individualisation of labour induction treatment and foetal observation is necessary for the best outcomes for both mother and child.²¹

The fact that the study was only carried out in one place may make the findings less relevant. Although the sample size in this study was small, a bigger multicentre investigation might increase the trustworthiness of the findings. Although these aspects were not addressed in the study, the effects of labour induction may be impacted by maternal characteristics such as foetal weight, Bishop score, and BMI. In order to improve the generality of study findings, more colleges ought to expand the sample size in subsequent studies. The long-term medical effects of labour terminations among foetuses with varying gestational ages will be examined in future research. Incorporating maternal characteristics like as BMI, cervical health, and foetal orientation into the induction process may enhance medical outcomes for both moms and their growing newborns.

CONCLUSION

The research indicates that performing inductions at 40+ weeks before attempting 41+ weeks produces better vaginal birth results because it includes better patient selection procedures and continuous monitoring during labour. The evaluation of mid-pregnancy practices for maternal and foetal health improvement requires additional research with an extended period of post-birth monitoring for neonatal outcome evaluation. The study verifies that labour induction at weeks 40+ and 41+ remains both safe and effective for preventing caesarean sections and enhances foetal outcomes and decreases complications related to pregnancy extension.

LIMITATIONS

The study's very small sample size and single-center design may have limited how far the results may be applied. Furthermore, neonatal outcomes beyond the initial postpartum period were not assessed in the long run.

SUGGESTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the study findings, induction of labour at 40 weeks in primigravida women is associated with higher vaginal delivery rates and a lower incidence of caesarean sections compared to induction at 41 weeks. The success of induction within 48 hours was also greater at 40 weeks, indicating better maternal responsiveness. Maternal age showed no significant impact on induction outcomes, suggesting timing plays a more critical role than age. Therefore, early induction at 40 weeks is recommended to improve maternal and fetal outcomes.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST / DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING SOURCES

This study received no external funding and was conducted as part of routine institutional research activities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the obstetrics and gynecology department staff involved in patient care and data collection.

REFERENCES

- Wilkinson C. Outpatient labour induction. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2021;77(September):15–26.
- 2. Kumar B, Kumari S, Hughes S, Savill S. Prospective cohort study of induction of labor: Indications, outcome and postpartum hemorrhage. Eur J Midwifery. 2021;5:1–7.
- Espada-Trespalacios X, Ojeda F, Nebot Rodrigo N, Rodriguez-Biosca A, Rodriguez Coll P, Martin-Arribas A, et al. Induction of labour as compared with spontaneous labour in low-risk women: A multicenter study in Catalonia. Sex Reprod Healthc. 2021;29(July):100648.
- ZHANG QJ, CHEN SW, XU X, ZHANG HL, YAN JY. Effect of induction of labor on maternal and perinatal outcomes in low-risk singleton pregnancies: a retrospective case-control study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022;26(16):5918–25.
- Coates D, Donnolley N, Foureur M, Henry A. Women's experiences of decision-making and attitudes in relation to induction of labour: A survey study. Women and Birth. 2021;34(2):e170–7.
- Tadesse T, Assefa N, Roba HS, Baye Y. Failed induction of labor and associated factors among women undergoing induction at University of Gondar Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022;22(1):1–10.
- Abdi N, Alavi A, Pakbaz F, Darabi H. Vaginal misoprostol versus intracervical Foley catheter for cervical ripening in postdate primigravid women: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(1):4–9.
- 8. Beshir YM, Kure MA, Egata G, Roba KT. Outcome of induction and associated factors among induced labours in public Hospitals of

Harari Regional State, Eastern Ethiopia: A two years' retrospective analysis. PLoS One. 2021;16(11 November):1–16.

- 9. Gul S, Ali T, Bashir A, Ali A, Bibi M, Asghar A. Comparison of Effectiveness of Induction of Labour between Misoprostol Per Oral and Per Vaginal. Pakistan J Med Heal Sci. 2022;16(4):545–8.
- Dadashaliha M, Fallah S, Mirzadeh M. Labor induction with randomized comparison of cervical, oral and intravaginal misoprostol. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2021;21(1):1–9.
- 11. Maria Aslam, Mehak Asim Khan, Filza Habib, Hasina Sadiq, Maria Habib, Munazza. Outcome of pregnancy in previous cesarean section comparing elective versus trial of labor (VBAC) at KRL Hospital, Islamabad. Prof Med J. 2023;30(07):906–11.
- 12. Zhu J, Xue L, Shen H, Zhang L, Lu D, Wang Y, et al. Labor induction in China: a nationwide survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022;22(1):1–12.
- Alkmark M, Wennerholm UB, Saltvedt S, Bergh C, Carlsson Y, Elden H, et al. Induction of labour at 41 weeks of gestation versus expectant management and induction of labour at 42 weeks of gestation: A cost-effectiveness analysis. BJOG An Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2022;129(13):2157–65.
- 14. Corrêa TD. Analysis of Variables that In fl uence the Success Rates of Induction of Labor with Misoprostol: A Retrospective Observational Study Análise de variáveis que in fl uenciam na taxa de sucesso da indução do parto com misoprostol: Um estudo observacional r. 2022;

- Raja A, Andleeb M, Sohail N, Subuktageen B. Fetomaternal Outcome in Induced Vs Spontaneous Labor Pak Armed Forces Med. J. 2021;71(3):974–8.
- Rasheed M. Comparison of the Frequency of Failed Induction of Labor in Obese Versus Non-Obese Women with Post-Date Pregnancy. 2024;11(2):223–7.
- Latif A, Azam M, Khan H, Khan I, Iqbal M, Khan Z, et al. Frequency of Successful Vaginal Delivery after Induction of Labour in Obese Pregnant Women Presenting at Tertiary Care Hospital Peshawar. Pakistan J Med Heal Sci. 2023;17(1):609–11.
- Levine LD, Downes KL, Hamm RF, Srinivas SK. Evaluating the impact of a standardized induction protocol to reduce adverse perinatal outcomes: a prospective cohort study. J Matern Neonatal Med. 2021;34(19):3200–7.
- Lueth GD, Kebede A, Medhanyie AA. Prevalence, outcomes and associated factors of labor induction among women delivered at public hospitals of MEKELLE town-(a hospital based cross sectional study). BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20(1):1–10.
- De Castro H, Ciobanu A, Formuso C, Akolekar R, Nicolaides KH. Value of routine ultrasound examination at 35–37 weeks' gestation in diagnosis of non-cephalic presentation. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2020;55(2):248–56.
- 21. Iftikhar A, Ahmad H, Jamil N. Fetal Outcome in Younger Age Pregnant Female; A Tertiary Care Hospital. Natl J Life Heal Sci. 2024;1(1):7-9.